



:C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-FLAG.

In this DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT within this UNITED-STATES-DI-STRICT-COURT-BUILDING in the WASHINGTON-STATE-DRYDOCK-LOCATION ARE with this AMICUS-CURIAE-TEN-DAY-GRACE-TIME-PERIOD-DUTY-CORRECTION by this QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-DOCUMENT-CASE-NUMBER ~MJ 11-30

:David-Russell:: Myrland

BOOKING-NUMBER: B3758814

DOB:19~DECEMBER~1975

:CLAIMANTS-PLAINTIFF:

:CONTEST--VS.:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (FICTION-
VERB-SYNTAX) by the UNITED STATES
ATTORNEY[~700--~STEWART-STREET,-
~SUITE--5220,--~SEATTLE,-
~WASHINGTON-STATE,--98101-1271]
SHERIFF'S-DEPARTMENT,--SEATTLE,
~WASHINGTON-STATE
:VASSALEE-DEFENDANT:

:CORPORATION-CASE-NUMBER--

FOR THE CORRECT-SENTENCE-STRUCTURE-COMMUNICATION-
PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR OF THIS QUO-WARRANTO-
COMPLAINT-DOCUMENT ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM
AGAINST THE VASSALEES'-FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-
GRAMMAR-PLEADINGS by the HANDCAPPING-GRAMMAR-
DOCUMENT-BONDED-EVIDENCE AGAINST THE CLAIMANT:
David-Russell:: Myrland.

FOR THIS CLAIMANT'S-DAMAGING-EVIDENCE: "INDICTMENT-
DOCUMENT" of this BONDED-VESSEL IS with the THREE-
TIMES-DAMAGES-PAYMENT-CLAIM with the LOSS of the
FREEDOM of the CLAYTON-ACT(1914) FRAUDULENT-PARSE-
SYNTAX-GRAMMAR: TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1001:
CONSPIRACY: TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--241, and:
PERJURY: TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1621, VACATING-
LAWS by the COLORING-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR: TITLE--18: D.-
C.-C.-S.--242, and: FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-
GRAMMAR=:TITLE~15: D.-C.-C.-S.--1692-E, PENALTY-
FINES: TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.--78ff[\$25-million-fine]

FOR THE TERMS OF THIS C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-NOW-TIME-VESSEL-COURT-DOCUMENT:

:VASSALEE-(WORD-MEANING) VASSAL=SERVANT OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT, EE=PLOYEE.

:C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.= :CORRECT-SENTENCE-STRUCTURE-COMMUNICATION-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR.

:D.-C.-C.-R. = For the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIM-RULES OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

:D.-C.-C.-S. = For the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-SECTION = For the PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-
COMMUNICATION-WORD-CORRECTIONS of the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-UNITED STATES TITLES and: UNITED
STATES CODES ARE with the SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CORRECTIONS BY THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

:DOCUMENT-VESSEL = For the COURT-DOCKETING of the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-PERSONS ARE with
the CORPORATION-CLAIM BETWEEN THE TWO-OR MORE-PERSONS with the COURT-DOCUMENT-PORTING-STAMP by the
COURT-PORT-CLERK.

:DOCUMENT-STATE = For the CORPORATION of the TWO-OR-MORE-PERSONS ARE with the PORTING-CLAIM WITHIN A
COURT-PORT-CLERK by the DOCUMENT-VESSEL.

:NOW-TIME-TENSE = :C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.

:CONJUNCTION: 'and' = ALSO, COMMAND, 'or' = OPTION, EITHER, CHOICE.

:FRAUDULENT = :SPECT, FICTION, MODIFICATION, OPINION, PRESUMPTION, ASSUMPTION, APARTHEID, ILLUSION,
MISTIC, PHANTOM, FRAUD and: MISLEADING.

:LODIAL =[ARTICLE] For the SPECIFIC = A, AN, THE, THIS, THE, THAT, ONE, EACH, EVERY,
EITHER.[ARTICLE]

:POSITION = FOR, OF, WITH, BY, IN, AS, ON, WITHIN, AGAINST, THROUGH THRU, EITHER, BEYOND,
INTO.[PREPOSITION]

:VASSALEE = For the SERVANT-EMPLOYEE OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-COMPLAINT-VESSEL.

:VERB = For the THINKING-MOTION of the KNOWLEDGE = IS = SINGULAR, ARE = PLURAL.

:VESSEL = For the MARITIME :ORIGINAL-LOCATION.

:VOLITION = For the CLAIMANT'S-KNOWLEDGE of the FACTS ARE with the CAUSE-CLAIM of the MOTION-
THINKING with the POSITION of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-NOW-TIME-VESSEL-COURT-DOCUMENTS.

:VERB-SYNTAX: IS=SINGULAR-SYNTAX-TENSE, ARE=PLURAL-SYNTAX-TENSE, THINKING-MOTION.

:FRAUD: FRAUDULENT, FICTION, FRAUDULENT, LIE, PERJURY, FALSE, MISLEADING.

FOR THE TITLE--28: D.-C.-C.-S.--1331 of the COMPLIANCE-CLERK'S-DUTIES ARE with the
DOCKING of the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COMPLAINT with this CORPORATION-CASE-
NUMBER OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT with the TITLE--28: D.-C.-C.-S.-
~1361 of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-CLERK.

FOR THIS FEDERAL-JUDGE'S-AMICUS-CURIAE-CLAIM OF THIS DOCUMENT-EVIDENCE IS with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the VASSALEES'-EVIDENCE with the PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-FRAUD with A CORRECTION OR with A VACATING-PARDON with the CLAIMANT: David-Russell: Myrland by the VASSALEES.

FOR THIS CONSTITUTIONAL-DOCUMENT OF THIS COURT-DOCUMENT'S-LOCAL-RULES ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-VESSEL-COURT-CLAIMS OF THIS DOCUMENT-STATE with this CORPORATION-VESSEL-COMPLAINT:

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~1: For the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. of the CORRECT-SYNTAX-FACTS ARE with the FACT-AS-FACT-CLAIM by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENT-VESSEL.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~2: For the JUDGE'S-JUDGEMENT of the FACTS ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-S.-L-CLAIMS of the CORRECT-SYNTAX-FACTS with the NOW-TIME-CONTINUANCE-EVIDENCE by the DOCUMENT-COURT-PERSONS.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~3: For the CLAIMANTS of the CORRECT-FACTS ARE with the CLAIMS of the SPEECH, WRITINGS, FAITHS, PRESS, DOCUMENT-PORTING with the GRIEVANCES IN A C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-ORIGINAL-JURISDICTION-COURT.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~4: FOR THIS CORPORATION-CASE OF THIS COURT-VESSEL-DOCUMENT ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIMS by the PERSON'S-FACTUAL-VOLITION.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~5: FOR THIS COURT-CONSTITUTION of the FACTS ARE with the CLAIMS by the PERSON'S-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-KNOWLEDGE.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~6: For the CLAIM of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CAPTURE-WARRANT OR: C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-SEARCH-WARRANT OR: C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-HOME-SALE OR: FORECLOSURE IS with an AUTOGRAPH of the JUDGE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-OATH by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-AUTHORITY-DUTY.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~7: For the WITNESSING of the WITNESS'S-TESTIMONY IS with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIM by the WITNESSING-PERSONAL-SELF.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~8: For the WITNESS'S-KNOWLEDGE of the CORRECT-SYNTAX-FACTS ARE with the CLAIMS of the WITNESSES, COUNSELS and: EVIDENCE-FACTS.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~9: For the TWELVE-(12)PERSON-JURY'S-KNOWLEDGE by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIMS ARE with the CLAIMS by the CORRECT-SYNTAX-TRIAL.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~10: For the TERMS of the CONVICTION-PERSON'S-PUNISHMENT ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIMS of the BAIL-TERM-CONDITIONS, FINANCIAL-TERM-FINES and:/OR: JAILING-TERMS with this C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENT-COURT.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~11: For the COURT-DOCUMENT-FIDUCIARIES of the FACTS ARE with the DUTY-CLAIM OR: ELECTION-CLAIM BY A C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-OATH with this CORPORATION-DOCUMENT by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G..

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~12: FOR THIS VESSEL-DOCUMENT of the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-PERSONS-HEREIN ARE with the CLOSURE-CLAIM of the VOLITION with the DOCUMENT, CONSTITUTION, TREATY, CO-OPERATION, DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-STATES-CORPORATION, COMPACT, and: PERSONS'-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-TRUST-DOCUMENTS.

:DOCUMENT-CLAIM~13: For the JUDGE'S-KNOWLEDGE OF THESE PAID-DOCKETING and: FILING of the QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT and with THESE CONSTITUTIONAL-TERMS ARE with this DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-CLERK-CLAIM of the **FOURTY-FIVE-DAY-TRUST-LAW-FAULT-JUDGEMENT** AFTER THE FAILURE of the **TWENTY-ONE-DAY-CORRESPONDENCE-BACK WITHIN THE** DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-CLERK S'-OFFICE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRESPONDENCE by the VASSALEES with the THREE-DAY-GRACE-RECISSION-TIME-LIMIT-PERIOD and with the **TWENTY-ONE-DAY-CORRESPONDENCE-BACK** by the UNITED-STATES-DISTRICT-COURT-BUILDINGS-APPOINTMENT with A C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-JUDGE'S-OATH by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-JUDGE'S-KNOWLEDGE with this C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRESPONDENCE.

FOR THE CAUSES OF THIS QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT:

~1 For the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRECTIONS OF THESE FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-COMMUNICATION-COURT-DOCUMENTS ARE with the FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DAMAGE-CLAIMS of the VASSALEE'S-WRONG-WORD-MEANINGS-EVIDENCE-HEREIN-BONDED with the **THREE-TIMES-EQUITY-DAMAGES** of the \$75,000.00-PER-HOUR with the CLAYTON-ANTITRUST-ACT(1914) of the FREEDON-LOSS with the Myrland's-void-opinion ARREST by the VASSALEES.

~2 FOR THIS CLAIMANTS'-KNOWLEDGE of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-EVIDENCE-FACTS ARE with the CORRECT-VOLITION-CLAIM OF THIS SUMMARY-CORRECTION-AUTHORITY: **TITLE~42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1986** AGAINST THE WRONG-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-COMMUNICATIONS with the VASSALEE'S-DOCUMENT-INDICTMENT-WRITTEN-EVIDENCE and: VASSALEES'-OPINION-DOCUMENTS.

FOR THE COPYCLAIM/COPYRIGHT~21~DECEMBER~2011 BY THE David-Wynn: Miller, FEDERAL-JUDGE, PLENIPOTENTIARY-JUDGE, POST-MASTER, and: CLAIMANT: David-Russell: Myrland OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

- ~3 FOR THIS CLAIMANT: David-Russell: Myrland of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRESPONDENCE ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRESPONDENCE-PLEADING-CLAIMS by the FACTS with the VASSALEES: 'UNITED STATES OF AMERICA-(SYNTAX-VERB-FICTION) (sic)'.
- ~4 For the VASSALEE'S-WRITTEN-VOLITIONS of the FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE-BONDED-DOCUMENTS ARE with the PHYSICAL-EVIDENCE-DAMAGE-CLAIMS of the 'FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-INDICTMENT' with the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1986: KNOWLEDGE of the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CAPTURE-ORDER AGAINST THE CLAIMANT by the SHERIFF-DEPARTMENT.
- ~5 For the CLAIMANT'S-DOCUMENTS of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. ARE with the NOW-TIME-FACTS of the POSITIONAL-LODIAL-FACT-PHRASES, LODIAL-[(ARTICLE)] and: VERBS: IS=SINGLAR, and: ARE=PLURAL, with the SINGLE-IDEA-NOW-TIME-CONTENT-SENTENCE of the FACTS with the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT by the CLAIMANT'S-DOCUMENT-CLOSURE-VOLITION.
- ~6 FOR THIS WITNESSING-PLENIPOTENTIARY-JUDGE'S-KNOWLEDGE of the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1986 with the STOPPING of the FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-FICTIONAL-INDICTMENT-EVIDENCE with the VASSALEE-PROSECUTING-ATTORNEY-FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-ERRORS IS with the CORRECTION-HELPING-CLAIM of the LAWS, RULES, REGULATION, CODES and: INVESTIGATION-STATEMENTS with THESE VASSALEES of the TITLE--31: D.-C.-C.-S.-3729-THROUGH-3733: 'FALSE-CLAIMS-ANTITRUST-ACT' with the CORRECTION-IDENTIFICATION-NUMBERING-KEY-CODE of the PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CORRECT-WORD-IDENTIFICATION For the VOIDING of the PERJURY, FRAUD and: MISLEADING-STATEMENTS, GUESSING, LYING, OPINIONS, ASSUMPTIONS, PRESUMPTIONS and: OBSTRUCTION-LAWS, CODES, REGULATIONS, RULES and: ORDINANCES WITHIN THE VASSALEE'S-FICTIONAL-EVIDENCE.
- ~7 For the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. of the SALVAGE-CLAIM: TITLE--46: D.-C.-C.-S.--781 IS with an ORIGINAL-AUTHORITY-JURISDICTION-CLAIM of the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-EVIDENCE WITHIN THE FRAUDULENT-COMMUNICATION-CLOSURE-EVIDENCE-DOCUMENTS.
- ~8 For the David-Russell: Myrland's-VOLITION-THINKING of the CONTROLLING-VESSEL-BODY-CARETAKER IS with the FREEDOM-DAMAGE by the VASSALEES'-FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DOCUMENT.
- ~9 For the CLAIMANT'S-FACTUAL-EVIDENCE of the FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DOCUMENTS ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-JURISDICTION-VENUE-TERRITORY with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORPORATION-CASE-VESSEL.
- ~10 For the PREFIX-FUTURE-TIME: "TO", "PRE" and: SUFFIX-PAST-TIME: "ED", "FROM" IS with the VIOLATION of the NOW-TIME-CLAIM.
- ~11 For the WORDS OF an ADVERB-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-MODIFICATIONS ARE with an USE of the SINGLE-[PRE]POSITION and: SINGLE-LODIAL-[ARTICLE] with the POSITION AS an ADVERB-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR with the VOID of the POSITIONAL-LODIAL-FACT-PHRASE with the SINGLE-WORD-MODIFIER AS THE: A, AS, AT, AM, BECAUSE, BEFORE, BEGIN, BUT, BY, CAN, COME, COULD, DO, DOES, FROM, HE, HER, I, IN, JUST, OF, OVER, HAS, HIS, HER, HOW, IT, JUST, MUCH, NO, NOT, PUT, RE, SO, SHE, SHOULD, SOME, SUCH, THAT, THAN, THE, THEY, THEIR, THEM, THEN, TO, THIS, THOSE, THROUGH, UNDER, USE, WANT, WAS, WE, WHEN, WHAT, WHERE, WITH, WITHOUT, WITHIN, WHO, WHOM, WOULD, YOU, YOUR, INTO with the VERB-FRAUDULENT-LAW and:/OR: VERB-SYNTAX-FRAUDULENT-FACT by the VASSALEES.
- ~12 For the CLOSURE-FRAUD of the VASSALEE'S-WRONG-WORD-MEANINGS ARE with the CLOSURE-FRAUD of the SENTENCE-STRUCTURES with the VIOLATIONS-CLAIMS of the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1001: FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR and: TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.--1692--E with the FALSE-WRITINGS and: MISLEADING-STATEMENTS of the FRAUD-PENALTY-FEE: TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.--78--ff(\$25-MILLION-DOLLARS-PENALTY-FINES) by the VASSALEES.
- ~13 For the CLAIMANT'S-KNOWLEDGE of the FACTS ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIMS of the VOLITION-PERJURY and: ILL-WILLS with the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1621 of the FRAUDS and: PERJURY OF an OATHS, FRAUDULENT-JUDGEMENTS, NOTIONS and: MOTIONS with this CORPORATION-CASE by the VASSALEES.
- ~14 For the CLAIMANT'S-KNOWLEDGE of the FACTS ARE with the CLAIM of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENT-CORRECTIONS with the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT'S-FEDERAL-TERRITORY-JUDGE.
- ~15 For the SENTENCE-STRUCTURES-VIOLATIONS of the VASSALEES-PLEADINGS ARE with the PRESUMPTIONS, ASSUMPTIONS, OPINIONS, APARTHEID and: MODIFICATIONS of the WORDS with the POSITION WITHIN an ORIGINAL-FRAUDULENT-DOCUMENT-COURT.

~16 For the BREACH of the CLAIMS of the CORPORATION-CASE-NUMBER--MJ11-30, ARE with the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT of the CLAIMANT'S-AUTHORITY with the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1986 of the CLAIMANT'S-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CORRECTIONS.

~17 FOR an IDEA, ONE-THOUGHT and: ONE-AUTHORITY-JURISDICTION with the ONE-NOW-TENSE-TIME ARE with the PLEADING-CLAIMS of the DOCUMENT-COURT-CORPORATION with the SUMMARY-CORRECTION by the JUDGE.

~18 For the FACTUAL-EVIDENCE of the VASSALEE'S-WRITINGS-SKILLS ARE with the CLAIMS of the VASSALEES'-DOCUMENTS with the FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-WRITING-LAW-TESTS and: VOID of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-SKILLS with the READING OR: WRITING-DAMAGE AGAINST THE CLAIMANTS with this CORPORATION-CASE of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G..

~19 For the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--641, For the TAKING OR: STEALING of the GOVERNMENT-PROPERTY OR: MONEY ARE with an ADVERB-VERB-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-FRAUD-VOUCHER, FRAUD-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-WORK-VOUCHER, BOXING-SYNTAX-VOID, ITALIC-SYNTAX-WORD-VACATING-STYLES-DOCUMENTS of the SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-FRAUD-CLAIM with the CORPORATION-CASE by the VOUCHER-VASSALEE. (:FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT For the EQUITY-PAYCHECK-MOTIVATION and: GRADIFICATION)

~20 For the SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-FRAUD of the PRONOUNS and: NOUN-VERBS = GERUND-NOUNS ARE with the MODIFICATION and: OPINION-CLAIMS OF an ADJECTIVE-FRAUDULENT-USE-DEAD-NAME = PSEUDONYM with the MAIL-FRAUDS of the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1342 with each VASSALEES'-FACTUAL-EVIDENCE with the STOPPING and: CORRECTING by the CLAIMANTS.

~21 For the NEGATIVE-WORD-VOLITIONS: PREFIX-WORDS, NOT, WITHOUT, NO, of the VASSALEES ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM AS THE MODIFICATIONS-VOLITION with the VACATING-CLAIMS of the CORRECT-NOTIFICATIONS with the VASSALEES and: CLAIMANTS.

~22 For the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1621: PERJURY OF an OATH IS with the LOSS of the JURISDICTION with the ACTING, TAKING and: [PR]ACTICING OR: CONDUCTING-CRIMINAL-ACTIONS BY EACH VASSALEE, JUDGE, ATTORNEY, and: LAWYER OR: PERSON.

~23 For the DOCUMENT-RULES of the DOCUMENT-PERSONS ARE with the CLOSURE-CLAIM of the ONE-JURISDICTION-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-RULES with an AUTHORITY of the FACTUAL-FRAUDULENT-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE with the FRAUDULENT-GRAMMAR-FORMAT by the VASSALEES.

~24 For the FRAUDULENT-USES of the FACTS ARE with the NEGATIVE-CLAIM of the PREFIXES: A, AB, AC, AD, AF, AN, DE, DIS, EM, EN, EX, I, IM, IN, MAL, MIS, NE, NO, NON, NOR, O, OB, OC, OP, OF, PRA, PRE, PRI, PRO, PRU, RE, SI, SUB, TO, UN, OR with the MODIFICATION of the FACT with an ADVERB OR: ADJECTIVE-MODIFICATION of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIM with the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1001 of the FICTITIOUS-GRAMMAR and: FRAUD-and:-MISLEADING-STATEMENTS: TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.--1692--E with the FACTUAL-CORRECTIONS BY THIS CONTRACT-TREATY-WITNESS-PLENIPOTENTIARY-JUDGE.

~25 For the FRAUDULENT and: FRAUDULENT-USE of the SYNTAX-WORD-MODIFICATIONS ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIMS of the FACTS with the GERUND-VERBS, PRONOUNS OR: ADJECTIVES with the PARTICIPATION of the PERJURY with an OATH of the SAFE-GUARDING with the DUTY and: KNOWLEDGE of the PORT-COURT-MEMBERS.

~26 For the CLAIMANT'S-KNOWLEDGE of the FRAUDULENT-COMMUNICATIONS ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIMS of the TITLE-COMPANY'S-EQUITY-BOND-CLOSING-ATTORNEY with the KNOWLEDGE by the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-DOCUMENTS. For the PROBATION of the FRAUD-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR IS with the DAMAGE-CLAIM-FRAUD of the BANKING-David-Russell: Myrland.

~27 For the SYNTAX-COMMUNICATION-FRAUD-EVIDENCES of the EVIDENCE-FRAUDULENT-COMMUNICATION-ILLUSIONS ARE with the PERJURY-EVIDENCE and: MONEY-THEFT(PAY-CHECKS and: ATTORNEY-FEES-COURT-ORDER-GRANTED) BY an 'INDICTMENT-DOCUMENT' with the FICTION-CRIMINAL-EQUITY-DAMAGE-CLAIM with the PUBLICATION of the TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.-1692--e with the FRAUD and: MISLEADING-STATEMENT-DAMAGES: TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.--78--ff of the CRIMINAL-PENALTIES with the \$25-MILLION-DOLLARS by the FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT: CORRECT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-TITLE--31: D.-C.-C.-S.--3729-THROUGH-TITLE--31: D.-C.-C.-S.--3733.

~28 For the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1985--1, of the TWO-OR-MORE-FRAUDULENT-FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-FILINGS ARE with the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-CLAIMS of the VASSALEES'-CONSPIRACY with the CROSS-CLAIMS of the CRIMINAL-CODE: TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--241 with the CONSPIRACY-DAMAGE-CLAIM of the DOCUMENTING-VASSALEES with the CORPORATION-SUPPORT of the FRAUDULENT-COURT-ORDERS with the PARTICIPATION OF an ADVERB-VERB-SYNTAX-FRAUDULENT-GRAMMAR with the FRAUDULENT-PLEADINGS by the VASSALEES.

~29 For the David-Russell: Myrland'S-KNOWLEDGE of the EQUITY IS with the EQUITY-DAMAGE-CLAIM of the FREEDOM-LOSS and: WAGES-LOSS by the FRAUD-PROBATION-PLEA-BABLBE. FOR THE COPYCLAIM/COPYRIGHT--21--DECEMBER--2011 BY THE David-Wynn: Miller, FEDERAL-JUDGE, PLENIPOTENTIARY-JUDGE, POST-MASTER, and: CLAIMANT: David-Russell: Myrland OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

~30 For the CLAIMANT'S-EVIDENCE of the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-ERRORS-DOCUMENTS ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1985--3, with the DEPRIVATION-PLEADINGS of the EVIDENCE and: WITNESSES-PLEADING-BABBLING-FRAUD-INDICTMENT-DOCUMENT.

~31 For the DOCUMENT-COURT-CORPORATION of the DOCUMENT-AUTHORITY-JURISDICTIONAL-LAW ARE with the CONSTITUTION-CLAIM OF an OPERATIONAL-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FACTS by the CLAIMANTS.

~32 For the CLAIMANT'S-KNOWLEDGE of the CLOSURE-DOCUMENT-CLAIMS--26--e: CLOSURE-REPORTING ARE with the AUTHORITY-CLAIM of the CLOSURE-EVIDENCE-FACTS-DAMAGES with the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1986: KNOWLEDGE of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. and WITH THE CORRECTION of the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DOCUMENTS by the VASSALEES.

~33 For the HANDYCAPING-VICTIM-PERSON of the FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-'INDICTMENT'-WRITING ARE with the DISABILITY-ACT-VIOLATION-CLAIM of the HANDYCAPING-CAUSE with the FOREIGN-NOTIONS and: MOTIONS BY THAT VASSALEES'-CLOSURE-CONTEMPT, APARTHEID, BIAS, and: CONTRACT-FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-OPINIONS.

~34 For the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1985--2, of the VOID-STRUCTURE-DOCUMENT-COURT-DOCUMENT ARE with the MODIFICATION-VOID-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-LAWS of the FRAUD-WORD-MEANING with the FRAUDULENT-WORD-TERMS of the FRAUDULENT-OPINION, MODIFICATION, PRESUMPTION, ASSUMPTION and: APARTHEID with the CORECION AGAINST THE CLAIMANTS with the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-ERRORS by the VASSALEES'-'INDICTMENT'-DOCUMENT.

~35 For the VOLITION by the FALSIFICATION, CONCEALMENT and: COVER-UP ARE with the FACTUAL-EVIDENCE-CLAIMS and: CONFESSION of the TRICKS and: SCHEMES with the VASSALEES'-FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-'INDICTMENT'-MEANING-DOCUMENTS with the PARTICIPATION-CONTINUATION-COSPIRACY by the VASSALEES.

~36 For the FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT: TITLE--31: DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-SECTION--3729-THROUGH--3733 (PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CORRECTIONS:

~37 For the FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT: (LINCOLN LAW); For the TITLE--18: DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-SECTION--641 OF THIS QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT ARE with the CONTRACT-CLAIM of the LIABILITY with the PERSONS and:/OR: CORPORATION, CONSPIRACY, COMPANY, CO-OP of the FEDERAL-CONTRACTOR with the COURTS and: JUDGES-WAGE-PAYMENTS by the PORT-AUTHORITIES of the UNITED-STATES of an AMERICA-CORPORATION-POSTAL-SERVICE with the VOLITION of the FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR and: FITICIOUS-GRAMMAR: TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1001, TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.--1692-E: FRAUD and: MISLEADING-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-STATEMENTS, and: MONEY-PENALTIES: TITLE--15: D.-C.-C.-S.--78~FF with the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-COMMUNICATION-PENALTIES of the MAIL-FRAUD: TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1341 with the MONEY-TORT by the DOCUMENT-COURT-JUDGE and:/OR: BANKING-FRAUD-DOCUMENTS with the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--242: [DE]PRIVATION of the RIGHTS with the COLORING of the LAWS with the PUBLICATION of the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1985--1 with the CONSPIRACY of the PERSONS with the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1985--2 of the [OB]STRUCTING with the EVIDENCE and: WITNESSES by the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1001 with the FRAUDULENT-MODIFICATIONS of the PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-COMMUNICATION-EVIDENCE with the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.--1985--3 of the [DE]PRIVING with the WITNESSES and: EVIDENCE by the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1001 with the FRAUDULENT-MODIFICATION-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-COMMUNICATIONS of the CAUSING with the PARTICIPATION of the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--1961: RACKETEERING, with the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--3 of the PARTICIPATING-CRIME and: CRIMINAL-VIOLATIONS and: TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--4: MISPRISON with the FELONY of the DOCUMENT-EVIDENCE with the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT by the GOVERNMENTAL-SUPPORT-PROGRAMS and: VASSALEES.

~38 For the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-UNITED-STATE-TERRITORY-ATTORNEY-GENERAL-KNOWLEDGE OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT ARE with the EQUITY-CLAIM of the DOCUMENT-EVIDENCE-AUTHORITY-FILING with the THIRTY-PERCENT-ROYALTY-PORTION-EQUITY-DAMAGES.

~39 For the GOVERNMENT-SPENDING-CONTRACTS of the UNITED-STATES-GOVERNMENT OF an AMERICA-CORPORATION-TREASURY-(~2--FEBRUARY--2000) ARE with the CLOSURE-CLAIM of the UNITED-STATES-POSTAL-SERVICE-GOVERNMENT-GUISE with the COVERY of the FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT(YEARS~1987, ~2000, ~2003 and: AMMENDED(2008).

~40 For the DUTY-AUTHORITY-TREATY of the ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S-POWERS ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CONTRACT-DOCUMENTATION-CLAIM of the 'BILLS of the LADING' with the DOCUMENT-EVIDENCE of the MATERIAL-CRIMINAL-VIOLATIONS with this CORPORATION-CASE OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

~41 For the LAWS of the QUI TAM ARE with the CONTRACT-DOCUMENT-CO-OPERATION-JOINING-CLAIM of the CLAIMANTS-CITIZEN-SUING with the DOCUMENTION-EVIDENCE and: C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENT-QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT-LAWSUIT of the UNITED-STATE-TERRITORY-ATTORNEY-GENERAL and: CLAIMANTS'-EVIDENCE-PROOF of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CONTRACT-CRIMINAL-VIOLATION and: C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CONTRACT-CRIMINAL-VOLITION with the FRAUD and: CONDITION of the MIND with the CONTRACT-DUTY: TITLE--42: DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-SECTION=(D.-C.-C.-S.)--1986 of the KNOWLEDGE with the CRIMES OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT-LAWS with the STOPPING and: CORRECTING of the DOCUMENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE-PROOF-CRIMES with an ATTORNEY-GENERAL-CONTRACT-FILING-CLAIMS by the GOVERNMENT-CONTRACT-AUTHORITY.

~42 For the FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIMS of the CONTRACTORS with the FRAUD-CONTRACT-PERFORANCES of the WRITTEN-CONTRACTS and: with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRECTIONS BY THIS COURT.

~43 For the DATE-YEAR--OCTOBER--27--1986/2008 of the CHANGES ARE with the FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT: AMENDMENTS: PUBLIC-LAW--99-562, ~STATUE--3153, of the LAWSUIT-CONSTRUCTION and: DIRECTION with the CLAIMANTS'-WITNESSING-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE of the 30%-FINDERS-FEE and: WITNESSING-FEE AGAINST THE FRAUDULENT-CONTRACT-BANKING-DOCUMENTS with this C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CONTRACT.

~44 FOR an ORIGINAL-CLAIMS of the FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT ARE with the KNOWLEDGE of the LIABILITY-DAMAGE-CLAIM with the FRAUDULENT-MONITARY-GAINS of the FRAUDULENT-CONTRACT-PAYMENT with the FRAUDULENT-GOVERNMENT-GUISE with the FALSE-EQUITY-TRANSFER-CLAIM of the PAYMENT-OUT OR: FINANCIAL-GAIN-IN OR with the PERFORMANCE-KNOWLEDGE, OR: PERFORANCE-CLAIM by the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DOCUMENTS OR: FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-STATEMENT by the CLAIMING-PERSON OR: with the CONTRACTING-PERSONS-CONSPIRING by the 'FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT' OR with the FRAUDULENT-CERTIFYING of the TYPE, KIND, OR: AMOUNT with the EQUITY[PROPERTY] of the CONTRACT with the CERTIFYING-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CONTRACT-FRAUD-KNOWLEDGE by the POSTAL-AUTHORITY-GOVERNMENT.

~45 For the GOVERNMENTS'-POSSESSIONS of the EQUITY-MONEY-LOSS ARE with the CORRECTION-CLAIM of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CONTRACT-DOCUMENTATION with the "QUI-TAM-LAWSUITS-CLAIM" of the VASSALEES-DEFENDANT-AUTOGRAPH-VIOLATION-LIABILITIES, RECKLESS-VOLITION with this CORPORATION-CASE of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FACTS with an EVIDENCE-STANDARD of the CONTRACTING-DUTY-ELEMENTS with the VIOLATORS-LOST-POSITION by the THREE-TIMES-MONEY-DAMAGES and: CIVIL-FINES of the \$5,000-THRU-THE-\$10,000 with each FALSE-CLAIM, FRAUD and: MISLEADING-STATEMENTS: TITLE~15: DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-SECTION~1692~E, of the PENALTY:TITLE~15: DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-CLAIMS-SECTION~78~FF with the \$25-MILLION-FINE of the 30% with the COLLECTION-EQUITY-REWARDS of the BENEFIT with the QUI-TAM-CLAIMANTS-PLAINTIFFS'-WITNESSING and: PERFORMANCE-WORK-CONSOLIDATION of the CERTIFIED-EVIDENCE and: C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-OPERATIONAL-LAWS with the BETWEEN: 15-TO-30%(PERCENT) of the EQUITY-FUNDS-COVERED with the DEFENDANTS'-NOW-TIME-FACTS of the PAYMENT with the SUCCESSFUL-PLAINTIFF'S-EXPENSES of the CORPORATION-CASE with the PLOYMENT-SECURITY of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-STATMENTS with the SPECIAL-DAMAGES, and: DOUBLE-BACK-PAY by the EVIDENCE-CONFESSION-DOCUMENT-WRONG-DOER-VASSALEES.

~46 For the DUTY-FORCE of the FRAUDS ARE with the "C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLOSURE-COVER-ACT":~20--MAY--2009 of the DAMAGE-CLAIM with the CORPORATION-CASE of the FRAUDULANT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR with the FORCE-CLOSURE-ACT-(FERA) of the PUBLIC-SECURITY-LAW. For the CLAIMS of the AMENDMENTS ARE with the POSTAL-SERVICE-FEDERAL-CRIMES-ACT-(FCA) by the AMENDMENTS(YEAR~1986).

~47 For the CLAIMANTS'-KNOWLEDGE of the GOVERNMENTS'-CRIMINAL-MONEY-PAYMENTS(OUT) OR: CRIMINAL-MONEY-CONSPIRACY-COLLECTION-CLAIMS ARE with the GOVERNMENTS'-DAMAGE-CLAIM AGAINST THE WRONG-DOER'S-PERSON/VASSALEES-FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DOCUMENT-CONTRACT with the FALSE OR: FRAUDULENT-CONTRACTS and: FALSE-CONTRACT-CLAIMS by the WRONGDOER-PERSON/VASSALEES.

~48 For the FALSE-CLAIMS-ACT of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CHANGES **ARE** with the CORRECTION-CLAIM of the FALSE-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-STATEMENTS with an EQUITY-DAMAGE of the EQUITY-MATERIALS-TAKEN with the CONTRACT-KNOWLEDGE-CERTIFICATION by the WRONG-DOER'S-PERSONAL-CONFESSION-DOCUMENTS.

~49 For the SUPPORTING-TERMS OF THIS DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT **ARE** with the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT BY THIS QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT.

~1 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~4: For the PROCESS of the SERVICE ~a with the SUMMONS, ~b with the FORM, ~c with the SERVICE of the CLAIMS with the KNOWLEDGE of the VASSALEES, ~d with the SUMMONS and: COMPLAINT by the 21-DAYS-CORRESPONDENCE-BACK with the CLERK of the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT, ~g with the JOINING-PROOF of the SERVICE with the CLERK of the COURT, ~h with A COURT-SCHEDULING-TIMES by the SERVICE, ~j WITHIN THE TIME-LIMIT of the TWENTY-ONE-(21)-DAYS-JOINING-ANSWER-BACK-SERVICE with the COMPLAINT and: SUMMONS BETWEEN THE CLAIMANTS and: VASSALEES.

~2 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~5 = with the SERVICE: ~a with the QUIREMENT; ~d with the CLAIMS of the FILING; ~e For the FILING of the COURT-PORT-CLERK'S-KNOWLEDGE **IS** with the SERVICE-CLAIMS of the PERSON with an AGE of the GREATER-THAN-EIGHTEEN-YEARS(18)-YEARS with the MATTERS of the CORPORATION-CASE.

~3 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~6 = with the TIME: ~a with the COMPUTATION-FEDERAL-CASES-EVERYDAY-CONTINUANCE with this COURT, FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT and: STATE COURTS. ~d For the COMPLAINTS and: STATEMENTS OF THIS CORPORATION-CASE **ARE** with the SERVICE of the FIVE-DAYS-CLAIMS-NOTICES with the TRIAL by the CLAIMANTS and: VASSALEES.

~4 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~7 = with the PLEADINGS: ~a with the PLEADINGS IN THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.; ~b For the COMPLAINT of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIMS **ARE** with the DAMAGES by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRECTION-POSITION. NOTE: For the CLAIMS of the FRAUDS **ARE** with the CLAIMANT'S-COMPLAINT by the SUING with the CORRECTIONS by the CLEAR-MEANINGS with the WORDS and: CLOSURES with the COMPLAINT AGAINST THE FRAUDULENT-VASSALEE'S-PLEADINGS with the WRITING-CONFESSIONS BY THEIR AUTOGRAPHS.

~5 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~8 = with the CLAIMS of the PLEADINGS ARE: ~a with the CLAIMS of the DAMAGES by the COMPENSATION-FEES, MONEY, and: VALUE[PROPERTY]-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRECTIONS; ~b with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIM; ~c with an OATH-CLAIM IN THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIMS; ~d with the FAILURE of the CORRECT-FACTS = :PERJURY, FRAUD, LIES, FRAUDULENT, PRESUMPTIONS, ASSUMPTIONS, OPINIONS, MODIFICATIONS and: [A]PARTHEID; ~e with the PLEADINGS by the CONCISE-MEANINGS and: TERMS of the ONE-THOUGHT IN EVERY SENTENCE with the HE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FACT OF ONE VERB with the THINKING IN EVERY SENTENCE-SYNTAX.

~6 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~9 = with the PLEADINGS-SPECIAL ~b with the CLAIMS of the FRAUDS **ARE** with the CONDITION, WILL and: VOLITION of the MIND; ~e with the JUDGEMENT of the FACT with the COMPLAINT by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIM, ~f with the NOW-TIME and: NOW-PLACE, ~g For the NOW-TIME-FACTS **ARE** IN THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DAMAGE-CLAIM by the CLAIMANTS.

~7 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~10: For the FORM of the PLEADINGS **ARE** with the CLAIMS of the CAPTIONS with the COMPLAINT of the CORRECT-FACTS, ~b with the NUMBERING of the SENTENCES OR: PARAGRAPHS and: PAGES; ~c with the BONDING: GLUEING, STITCHING OR: MECHANICAL-RIVETTING of the COMPLAINT.

~8 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~11: For the FRIVOLOUS-FILINGS of the VASSALEE-PLEADINGS **ARE** with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the SANCTION with the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-COMMUNICATION by the COURT-VESSEL-DOCUMENT.

~9 For the LEGAL-NECESSARY-ELEMENT of the RAPE/FEAR **ARE** with the CLAIMS of the TORT IN an ORAL OR: WRITTEN-THREATING-PERSONAL-HARM with the TITLE--42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1985--3.

~10 FOR an AUTOGRAPH of the LEGAL-COURT-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRECTIONS **ARE** with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-LAW-DOCUMENT of the FIVE-DAY-TIME-LIMIT OR: SANCTION-FEES.

~11 For the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-SUMMARY-CORRECTIONS of the FRAUDULENT-COURT-DOCUMENTS **ARE** with the COLLUSION-CLAIM: TITLE--28: CHAPTER--85: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1359 by the VASSALEES.

~12 For the SUMMARY-CORRECTIONS of the DOCUMENT-CLAIM-LIST: DOCUMENT-CLAIMS--12-b:

~13 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b~7 of the JOINING **ARE** with the CLAIMS OF an AUTHORITY-JURISDICTION with the AUTHORIZATION by the 'LAW of the FLAG-DOCUMENT'.

~14 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b~6 of the NOW-TIME-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-PLEADINGS **ARE** with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FACTUAL-CLAIMS by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENTS.

~15 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b~5 with the CORRECT-PROCESS/LIVERY of the CASE-DOCUMENTS **ARE** with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CERTIFICATION of the COURT-CLERK with the PROCESSING of the PAPERWORK with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIM of the PORT-COURT.

~16 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b~4: CORRECT-SERVICES of the PAPERWORK ARE with an AUTOGRAPH-CANCELATION ON THE UNITED-STATES-POSTAL-SERVICE-STAMP and: END-DORSEMENT with the TOP of the COVER-PAGE-BACK with the DOCKETING-PORT-STAMP of the PORT-COURT.

~17 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b~3 of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-VENUE with this COURT-FILING ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CLAIM by the PORT-COURT-DOCUMENT-CONTRACT.

~18 For the LAW of the FLAG ARE with the CLAIM of the SANCTION AGAINST THE FOREIGN-MODIFICATION with the COLOR, OBJECT OR: SHAPE by the FRAUDULENT-USE-MODIFICATION.

~19 For the TRAPS IN THE TITLES, NAMES, DATES, CASE-NUMBERS, ITALIC-WORDS, BOXING, CHANGING-VECTORS and: TITLE-SITES ARE with the FRAUDULENT-GRAMMAR-FORMAT by the VASSALEES.

~20 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b~2: For the COURT OF THIS DOCUMENT-CORPORATION IS with the DOCUMENT-CLAIM by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-PORT-JOINING-COURT-AUTHORITY-JURISDICTION.

~21 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b~1: For the KNOWLEDGE of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FACTS ARE with the CLAIMS of the FACTS with the CORPORATION-CASE by the DOCUMENT-TERMS.

~22 For the CAUSE of the D.-C.-C.-R.-~9~b, D.-C.-C.-R.-~12~b, D.-C.-C.-R.-~56~d, and: D.-C.-C.-R.-~7 FOR an OPERATIONAL; and: with an EQUAL-GUARANTEE of the TITLE~42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1985~2 with the DAMAGE of the by the CLAIMANT'S-C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FACTS, WITNESSING and: EVIDENCE.

~23 For the TITLE~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1621, :FRAUD-FACT and: PERJURY OF an OATH IS with an USE of the COERCION with the VIOLATION of the TITLE~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1359 with the RAPE of the CORRECT-FACTS with the PERSONS/VASSALEES OF an ACTION with the TITLE~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~4 of the CORPORATION-CASE, with the PRISON of the FRAUDULENT-FELONY with the F.-S.-G. and: FRAUDULENT-FACTS and: TITLE~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~3 IN THE PARTICIPATION with the CRIMINAL-COMMUNICATION by the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-AUTHOR.

~24 For the DOCUMENT-CLAIMS, CIVIL-CLAIMS, OR: CRIMINAL-CLAIMS with the CASE ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM by the FRAUDULENT-FACT with an OATH of the F.-S.-G.-FIDUCIARY-OFFICER with the CLAIMS of the F.-S.-G./FRAUD-CONCLUSIONS.

~25 For the POINT-IN-TIME-OATH of the FIDUCIARY-OFFICE ARE with THEIR FIRST-DUTY of the CORPORATION with the SECOND-DUTY BY an AUTOGRAPH.

~26 For the ADJECTIVES, PRONOUNS OR: VERB-PROCESS IN THE F.-S.-G. ARE with the PARTICIPATION IN THE MAIL-FRAUD: TITLE~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1341.

~27 For the COMPLAINT-JUDGEMENT ON THE PLEADINGS ARE with the COMPLAINT of the FACTS with the FIRST-Hand: KNOWLEDGE of the F.-S.-G.

~28 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~24 and: TITLE~28: D.-C.-C.-S.-~2403 of the DOCUMENT-VESSEL ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CHALLENGE of the CORRECT-FACTS.

~29 FOR an OPERATIONAL-CLAIM of the UNITED-STATES-DI-STRICT-COURT-BUILDING IS with an EQUAL-CLAIM of the NEUTRAL-FILING-LOCATION WITHIN THE LOCAL-TERRITORY of the PERSONAL-GREVENCES with the STOPPING and: CORRECTING of the PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-VIOLATIONS with the PAPER-VESSEL-WRITTEN-GRIEVENCE of the DAMAGES WITHIN THE DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

~30 For the TITLE~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1621: For the CONDITION of the MINDS ARE with the WILLFUL-VOLITIONS-CLAIMS of the PAYMENTS with the WRONGFUL-ACTION BY A PERJURY-OATH.

~31 For the PERSON'S-KNOWLEDGE of the DOCUMENT-BREACHES ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the DOCUMENT with the PAYING of the FEES with the CORPORATION-CASE by the DAMAGED-VESSEL: D.-C.-C.-R.-~9~b.

~32 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~38~a: For the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-TRIAL of the TWELVE-PERSON-JURY IS with the SUMMARY-CORRECTION-CLAIM by the DOCUMENT-COURT.

~33 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~41~a: VOLUNTARY-TERMINATION of the FILE-COMPLAINT IS with the VOLUNTARY-WITHDRAW of the CASE with the KNOWLEDGE of the CORRECTIONS with the DOCUMENTS OF an ORIGINAL-FILING-CASE-CLAIM.

~34 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~49: For the FACTS of the CASES ARE with the CORRECT-FACTUAL-CLAIMS-EVIDENCE of the PORT-COURT-POSTAL-VESSEL-POSTAGE-STAMPS.

~35 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~50: For the NEW-TRIAL of the CASE IS with the CLAIM of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-PLEADINGS.

~36 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~54: For the CLAIMS of the SUMMARY-JUDGEMENT ARE with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRECTIONS by the WITNESS-PLENIPOTENTIARY-JUDGE.

~37 For the [PRE]POSITIONS of the WORDS: FOR, OF, WITH, BY, IN, AS, ON, WITHIN, AGAINST, THROUGH THRU, EITHER, BEYOND, INTO ARE with an AUTHORITY-JURISDICTION-POSITION-LODIAL-FACT-PHRASE of the NOW-TIME-AUTHORITY.

~38 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~55: of the FAULT-JUDGEMENT ARE with the CORPORATION-CASE of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G., with the FORTY-FIVE-DAY-TRUST-LAW-TIME-LIMIT-PARTICIPATION of the VASSALEES'-CORRESPONDENCE-BACK-PLEADINGS with the FAILURE of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. with the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-POSTAL-VESSEL-COURT.

~39 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~56: For the SUMMARY-JUDGMENT by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIMS BY THIS QUO-WARRANTO-COMPLAINT with the VASSALEES'-EVIDENCE BY THIS CORPORATION-CASE.

~40 For the D.-C.-C.-R.-~57: For the CLARATORY-JUDGEMENTS of the DAMAGES ARE with the CLAIMS of the PHYSICAL-EVIDENCE-DAMAGES with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FACTS by the PERFECT-FACT-EVIDENCE-JUDGE.

~41 For the POLICY and: CUSTOM of the VASSALEES-DOCUMENT-EVIDENCE ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIMS AGAINST the CLAIMANTS with the SUMMARY-CORRECTIONS of the VASSALEES'-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-FRAUD-EVIDENCE ON THIS DATE--~15--AUGUST--~2011, with the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-CORRECTIONS BY THIS CONTRACT-TREATY-WITNESS-PLENIPOTENTIARY-JUDGE.

~42 FOR an AUTHORITY-JURISDICTION of the DOCUMENT-FACTS ARE WITHIN THE COURT-DOCUMENT-CLAIMS of the CORRECT-LODIAL-[ARTICLE] with the CLAIMS OF an AUTHORITY-JURISDICTION with the CORRECT-POSITIONAL-LODIAL-FACT-PHASE IN THE NOW-TIME-TENSE with the LODIAL-[ARTICLE] of the NOW-TIME-TENSE-FACT-WORDS with the SENTENCE-SYNTAX OF THIS CORPORATION-CASE.

~43 For the TITLE--~42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1985--~3: For the BIAS of the VESSEL-DOCUMENTS ARE with the PERSON-CLAIM of the DOCUMENT-STATE-TERRITORY with the CONSPIRING of the GUISE, with the VACATING, EITHER THE DIRECTION of the PERSON OR: CLASS with the PERSON'S-EQUAL-GUARANTEE OF an EQUAL-DOCUMENT-CLAIM with the HINDRANCE of the DOCUMENT-AUTHORITY OR: with the JUDGE/ATTORNEY/PERSONS IN THE PERSON'S-CONSPIRACY with the THREATENING-PERSON by the VOTE with the GIVING of the PERSON-SUPPORT/ADVOCACY IN THE LEGAL-MANNER, OR: with the DAMAGING of the PERSON/PERSON/SELF OR: PROPERTY with the SUPPORT/ [AD]VOCACY IN THE CASE with the CONSPIRACY of the TITLE--~42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1985--~1, with the ONE-OR-MORE-PERSONS' of the CIVIL-CONSPIRACY-DAMAGE with the PUBLICATIONS WITHIN THE DOCUMENT-POSTAL-VESSEL.

~44 For the TITLE--~42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1986: KNOWLEDGE of the DOCUMENT-DUTIES ARE with the CLAIM of the CORRECTION with the WRONG-SYNTAX-COMMUNICATIONS by the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-DOCUMENT.

~45 FOR an ACTS of the RACKETEERING ARE with the OWNER'S-LODIAL-CLAIMS of the FINANCIAL-EQUITY-CLAIM with THEIR BUSINESS of the MEANS with the THREAT of the STRONGER-POWERING-FORCE.

~46 For the TITLE--~42: D.-C.-C.-S.-~1985--~2 with the [OB]STRUCTING of the CORRECT-FACTS, with the THREATENING AGAINST A WITNESS with the TWO-OR-MORE-PERSONS IN THE CONSPIRING with the THREATENING of the PERSON/WITNESS with the POSTION IN THE PORT-COURT-POSTAL-VESSEL OR with the TESTIFYING of the MATTER-PENDING with the CASE IN THE PORT-COURT-POSTAL-VESSEL with the WITNESSING of the PERSON with an ATTENDING, TESTIFYING, OR: CONVINCING of the VERDICT OR: CLAIM with the Grand: OR: PETIT-JUROR, IN THE COURT, OR with the DAMAGING of the JUROR with the PERSON-SELF-VALUES of the CLAIM with the VERDICT of the JUDGEMENT with the JUROR-DUTY of the TWO-OR-MORE-PERSONS-CONSPIRACY with the OBSTRUCTING of the CORRECT-FACTS with the CORPORATION-CASE WITHIN THE PORT-COURT.

~47 For the JUDGE'S-KNOWLEDGE of the FACTS ARE with the DUTY-CLAIM of the CORPORATION-DOCUMENT with the PUBLICATION IN THE C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G. with the CLAIMS of the PERSONS, OR: CORPORATIONS with the KNOWLEDGE of the PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-WORD-MEANINGS with the DOCUMENT-AUTHORITY of the PEACEFUL-DUTY with the JUDGE, POSTMASTER, BANK-BANKER, and: CLERK AS THE FIDUCIARY-PERSON.

~48 For the FRAUD-FACT-PERJURY: For the MODIFICATION-CLAIMS of the FACTS with the PERSON'S-KNOWLEDGE IS with the WITNESSING-CLAIM of the FACTUAL-EVIDENCE with an OATH of the SAFE-GUARD with the DUTY by the FIDUCIARY'S-DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-OATH.

~49 For the FALSE-SWEARING of the TIME-LIMITATIONS ARE with the RUNNING-TIME-CLAIM by the FIRST-STATEMENT. (3X3X3=27, 3X3X0=0, FACT X FACT = FACT, FACT X LIE = LIE)

~50 For the 'TORT' of the DOCUMENT-CONTRACT-WRONGS ARE with the PERSON'S-CONSPIRACY AGAINST THE DUTIES, PERSONS, DOCUMENT-CONTRACT OR: CONSTITUTION-STATE with the FIDUCIARY'S-KNOWLEDGE of the WRONGFUL-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-USE with the THREATENING-FEAR OR: COLORING-FEAR of the TITLE--~18: D.-C.-C.-S.-~871.

~51 For the MOVING-PERSON'S-LARCENY by the FRAUD and: CHEATING of the VASSALEES-CONTRACT ARE with the DAMAGE of the FALSE-CLAIM-ACT: TITLE-31: D.-C.-C.-S.-~3729--~3733-herein-statements.

~52 For the FRAUDULENT-TAKING OR: POSSESSION of the PERSON'S-VALUABLES IS with the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-CLAIM by the FIDUCIARY OR: CONFIDENTIAL-PERSON.

~53 For the BREACH of the COMMISSION, OMISSION, OR: DOCUMENT of the DUTY, AUTHORITY, FIDUCIARY, TERMS, CONDITIONS ARE with the CLAIM AS THE NEGLIGENT-PERSON.

~54 For the RACKETEERING OF an ORGANIZATION-CONSPIRACY ARE with the COMMITMENT of the CRIMES with the TORT/COERCION and: RAPE of the LIFE, PARTY, PERSON, DOCUMENT OR: CORPORATION BEYOND THE POINT of the COVERY with an ENGINEERING of the DAMAGE with A CASE AGAINST THE PERSONS.

~55 FOR an ADJECTIVE of the COLORFUL-OPINIONS ARE with the MODIFICATION of the FACT with the TWO-OR-MORE-FACTS-JOINING of the TWO-SEPARATE-WORDS with the VACANT-USE of the HYPHEN-BETWEEN-THE-WORDS with the LAST-FACT of the FACT-PHASE with the CHANGING of the FIRST-FACT-WORD INTO an ADJECTIVE-WORD-MEANING and: with the ADJECTIVE-CHANGES of the SECOND-FACT-WORD INTO THE PRONOUN-SYNTAX-WORD-MEANING.

~56 For the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--242--1 For the TWO-OR-MORE-PERSON of the COMING-TOGETHER with the VOIDING of the CORRECT-DOCUMENT with the FIDUCIARIES of the DOCUMENT-COURT with A CASE of the LAW, STATUE, ORDINANCE, REGULATION, and: MARTIAL-LAW-METHODS with A CASE IN THE DOCUMENT-STATE-CORPORATION-COURT with the TWO-DIFFERENT-PUNISHMENTS, PAINS, PENALTIES OR: TREATMENT ON an [ACCOUNT]LEDGER with the PERSON-BEING-FOREIGN = VACANT-PUNCTUATION IN THE DEAD-NAME = FRAUDULENT/FOREIGN, OR with the CLAIMS of the PERSON'S-COLOR, RACE, FAITH, OR: SEX ARE with the CLAIMS by the DOCUMENT-PUNISHMENT.

~57 For the DURESS: VACANT-LAW[ILLEGAL]-PURPOSE/THREAT of the PERSON'S-COMPLIANCE ARE with the THREAT of the BEATING, VIOLENCE, EMOTIONAL-PAIN OR: LOSS of the FREEDOM with the PRISON of the PERSON/PARTY with the MENTAL OR: FINANCIAL-HARM by the COLLUSION-FORCE: TITLE--28: D.-C.-C.-S.--1359.

~58 For the LAWYER OR: ATTORNEY of the DOCUMENTING-SERVICES ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the C.-S.-S.-C.-P.-S.-G.-FAILURE, LOSS OR: DAMAGES with the COMMUNION OF THEIR SERVICES.

~59 For the BIAS: For the CONCEIVE/OPINION = LEANING-TOWARDS-ONE-SIDE of the CAUSE with the CONVICTION of the CORRECT-FACTS.

~60 For the [DIS]CRIMINATION = [A]PARTHEID: For the TREATMENT of the PERSON'S-EQUALITY ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the NEGLECT with the FAVORING of the ONE-PERSON with the APARTHEID of the SECOND-PERSON. :TITLE--VII of the ~1964: DOCUMENT-CIVIL-RIGHTS-ACT.

~61 For the TITLE--18: D.-C.-C.-S.--242: For the PERSON of the FRAUDULENT-COLORING ARE with the DOCUMENT-LAW-STATUTES, ORDINANCE OR: REGULATIONS of the DAMAGE-CLAIMS with the DOCUMENT IN THE NOW-TENSE with the DOCUMENT BETWEEN THE TWO-OR-MORE-PERSON with the MANIFESTATIONS of the DOCUMENT-STATES-COURT-CORPORATION.

~62 For the David-Russell: Myrland's-KNOWLEDGE OF THESE HANDYCAPPING-COMMUNICATIONS with the VASSALEE'S-FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE-DOCUMENTS ARE with the DAMAGE-CLAIM of the FRAUDULENT-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-PAPERS with the VACATING OF THESE FICTIONAL-PAPERS with the SUMMARY-JUDGEMENT-SANCTIONS AGAINST THE VASSALEES with the THREE-TIMES-DAMAGE-PAYBACK of the PERSONAL-LOSS, LOSS of the FREEDOMS, STANDING IN THE COMMUNITY, and: EMOTIONAL-TRAMA with the VASSALLES'-ATTORNEY'S-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-SECOND-GRADE-WRITING-LEVEL-FRAUD.

: David-Russell: Myrland 3-January-2012
:SEAL: 

: David-Wynn: Miller ~21-December~2011
:David-Wynn: Miller::PLENIPOTENTIARY-JUDGE, FEDERAL-JUDGE, POSTMASTER for the WITNESSING of the VASSALEES'-FRAUDULENT-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-DOCUMENTS. [~5166--NORTH--63,--MILWAUKEE,--WISCONSIN--53218]

:NOTE: For the "WHY" of the SHERIFF'S-STATEMENT-WRITINGS AND: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S-STATEMENT-WRITING ARE with A SECOND-GRADE-READING-LEVEL and: WRITING-LEVEL and: VACATING-FACTS, OPINIONS, GUESSING, MODIFICATIONS, VIOD-FACTUAL-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR of the FRONTWARDS and: BACKWARDS-CORRECT-SYNTAXING-MODIFICATION-FRAUD of the VOID-WORD-MEANINGS by the VASSALEES AGAINST THE COLLUSION-CONSPIRACY with the HANDYCAPPING-PARSE-SYNTAX-GRAMMAE-COMMUNICATION-PLEADINGS and: BABBLING-COLUSSION-THREATS AGAINST THE David-Russell: Myrland by the VASSALEES.

For this FEDERAL-JUDGE: David-Wynn: Miller's-CORRECTION of the VASSALEES-FICTION-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-PLEADINGS IS with the CORRECTION-PARTICIPATION-CLAIM of this BABBLE-INDICTMENT-EVIDENCE and: BAD-PROBATION-SYNTAX-GRAMMAR-EVIDENCE. [WHY DID THE VASSALEES DO THIS CASE WITH A VOID-COMMUNICATIONS?] FOR THE VOID-DROGUE-LAW, VOID-OATH OF AN OFFICE, VOID-JUDGE'S-OATH, VOID-DOCKING-COURT-HOUSE-VESSEL IN THE WASHINGTON-STATE-DRYDOCK and: VOID-ORIGINAL-LODIAL-LAND-TITLE.

:EVIDENCE:

FILED ENTERED
LODGED RECEIVED

JAN 21 2011

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
BY DEPUTY

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:
1=Adverb 8=Past-time
2=Verb 9=Future-time
3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

3 3 3 4
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
3 AT SEATTLE 2

10 3 8 4 1 2
11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND,
15 Defendant.

NO. **MT 11-30**
COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION
18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

4 1 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 4 1
20 Before the Honorable Mary Alice Theiler, United States Magistrate Judge, at
21 Seattle, Washington.

COUNT ONE

(Transmission of Threatening Interstate Communication)

24 On or about August 30, 2010, at Kirkland, within the Western District of
25 Washington and elsewhere, DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND knowingly did transmit in
26 interstate and foreign commerce a communication containing a threat to kidnap and injure
27 the person of another, in that he used a computer and the Internet to transmit, by
28 commercial and interstate electronic mail ("e-mail"), a message addressed to J.M., the

4 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 no 3 8 4 1 2 1 3
 1 Mayor of the City of Kirkland, which message was received at a City of Kirkland
 2 computer server, and in which message DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND threatened to
 3 use force to "arrest" J.M. in her home. DPV = DANGling - PARTICIPLE - VERB

4 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c).

5 And the undersigned Complainant, Special Agent John Dean, hereby further states
 6 as follows: DPV

7 I. INTRODUCTION AND AGENT BACKGROUND.

8 1. I, John Dean, am a Senior Special Agent in the United States Department of
 9 Homeland Security (DHS), National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD),
 10 assigned to the Federal Protective Service (FPS), Arctic Northwest Region, in Federal
 11 Way, Washington. I have been a Special Agent with FPS for eleven (11) years. Prior to
 12 my becoming a Special Agent, I had been a Police Officer with FPS for four (4) years.
 13 Prior to my employment with the FPS, I was a Police Sergeant with the Department of
 14 Defense Police for three (3) years, and a Security Policeman with the United States Air
 15 Force for six (6) years. I have attended, and graduated, formal training academies for each
 16 of the organizations listed. Additionally, I have received formal, informal, and
 17 experience-based training on the conduct of Protective Investigations throughout my
 18 career. Part of my duties entails the response, and investigation of threats to individuals
 19 and Government organizations.

20 2. As set forth below, there is probable cause to believe that DAVID
 21 RUSSELL MYRLAND has committed a violation of Title 18, United States Code,
 22 Section 875(c), which prohibits the interstate communication of threat to kidnap or injure
 23 any person. DPV

24 3. This Complaint is based on my own investigation and on information
 25 provided to me by other law enforcement officers. This Complaint is submitted for the
 26 limited purpose of showing probable cause to arrest DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND, and
 27 therefore does not contain every fact known to me about this investigation.
 28

1=Adverb
 2=Verb
 3=Adjective
 4=Preposition
 5=Syntax-word-key-meaning:
 6=Past-time
 7=Future-time
 8=Conjunction
 9=No-Contract

COMPLAINT - 2
 U.S. v. Myrland

1=Adverb
 2=Verb
 3=Adjective
 4=Preposition
 5=Syntax-word-key-meaning:
 6=Past-time
 7=Future-time
 8=Conjunction
 9=No-Contract

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 700 Stewart Street, Suite 2220
 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
 (206) 553-7970

4. 1 NO 3 4

II. SUMMARY OF PROBABLE CAUSE.

A. THE KIRKLAND TRAFFIC STOP AND ARREST.

4. Based on my investigation, and a review of reports provided by the Kirkland Police Department and the King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, the events leading to this complaint began on August 10, 2010. On that date, Kirkland Police Department Officers observed a red Volvo driving on the 132nd Avenue N.E. in Kirkland without a visible license plate. Officers recognized the vehicle as belonging to DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND, who was the subject of an earlier officer safety bulletin, who had in the past made threats to "arrest" police officers, and was known to possess a 9mm handgun.

5. The officer activated his emergency lights and pulled over the Volvo for not having a license plate. The vehicle turned on to NE 70th in Kirkland and came to a stop.

6. The Kirkland Officer approached the vehicle, and immediately noticed a black semiautomatic Springfield XD pistol lying on the front passenger seat. A loaded magazine was on top of the pistol. GUN-PARTS-ARMA

7. Based on the presence of the firearm, the officer advised the driver (later identified as DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND) to keep his hands on the steering wheel. MYRLAND responded that the gun was not loaded, and that he was allowed to have a gun. He then moved his hands towards the weapon, stating he would show the officer that the gun was not loaded. The officer advised him again to keep his hands on the steering wheel and not to reach towards the weapon.

8. The officer then asked MYRLAND why his vehicle did not have license plates. MYRLAND responded that he did not have to have license plates because he was not subject to Washington State Laws, or words to that effect. MYRLAND offered to provide the officer with a legal brief explaining why, and claimed the officer lacked the legal authority to stop him.

9. The officer then asked MYRLAND for his driver's license, registration, and insurance information. MYRLAND then reached towards the handgun on the seat next to

2 0 1 NO 2 1 2 1 NO 3 4 1 2 1 3 4 1 3
 1 him, and the officer again had to order MYRLAND to keep his hands on the steering
 2 wheel.

4 1 NO 3 8 4 1 3 4 1 2 1 2 1 2 0
 3 10. MYRLAND then responded to the officer that he did not have a license or
 4 registration paperwork, again explaining that he was not subject to the motor vehicle laws
 5 of the State of Washington.

4 1 3 4 1 2 4 NO 1 3 4 1 2
 6 11. During the course of the stop, MYRLAND repeatedly claimed that he was
 7 not subject to Washington State laws regarding driving, and stated that if he was placed
 8 under arrest for violating those laws, he would be constitutionally authorized to come to
 9 the officer's residence and arrest him at any time. The officers observed that MYRLAND
 10 became very agitated, clenching his fists, and that his face turned red.

1 NO 3 NO 3 NO 4 8 1 2 4 1 NO 3 8 4
 11 12. When additional officers arrived on scene, MYRLAND was removed from
 12 the vehicle and searched for officer safety. During the search, officers discovered that
 13 MYRLAND was wearing a shoulder holster for the semiautomatic pistol under his jacket.
 14 Two additional loaded magazines for the pistol were stored in the holster. MYRLAND
 15 told the officers he owned the firearm to "shoot pitbulls."

NO 3 3 4 1 3 4 1 2 1
 16 13. Records checks showed that the vehicle MYRLAND was driving was
 17 registered out of the State of Oklahoma, but that the registration in that state was expired.
 18 Records also showed that MYRLAND had a suspended driver's license in the third
 19 degree. Based on those facts, the officers placed MYRLAND under arrest for driving
 20 while license suspended in the third degree and for violation of vehicle trip permits.
 21 MYRLAND's vehicle was impounded. DPV

NO 3 3 4 1 2 0 1 NO 3 8 4 NO 1 1
 22 14. Officers read MYRLAND his rights, and he responded that "Apparently the
 23 Constitution doesn't apply to me, so I must be crazy." OMIT

1 3 4 1 3 NO 4 4 1
 24 15. During transport to the Kirkland Police Department, MYRLAND became
 25 agitated and began to yell. He informed the officer that the City had put the officer in a
 26 "dangerous position" and that MYRLAND was in a position to "arrest" the officer due to
 27 the "unlawful" nature of MYRLAND's arrest. MYRLAND also stated that he was
 28 extremely upset at the Mayor of the City of Kirkland, and would be submitting affidavits

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:
 1=Adverb 8=Past-time
 2=Verb 9=Future-time
 3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
 4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

COMPLAINT - 4
 U.S. v. Myrland

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
 (206) 333-7979

1 to the Superior Court regarding her treatment of him. DPV

2 16. MYRLAND was booked and released, and asked to speak with the arresting
3 officer. The officer advised him that he would not release MYRLAND's firearm to him,
4 which caused MYRLAND to become enraged and yell. MYRLAND stormed out of the
5 Police Department, and yelled at a number of officers outside the building. DPV

6 B. THE EMAIL THREAT - COUNT ONE.

7 17. My investigation has revealed that on or about August 30, 2010, Defendant
8 sent the following email to the official City of Kirkland email account for the Mayor of
9 Kirkland (XXXXXX@ci.kirkland.wa.us) from the email address governthis@hotmail.com:

10 On Friday, September 3, 2010, I, David Russell Myrland,
11 will apply to a panel of Americans to offer evidence of
12 felonious conduct on your part, which constitutes an ongoing
13 threat to Public Safety, hoping to cause the issuance of a
14 "WRIT OF PROBABLE CAUSE" to substantiate the arrest of
15 your person under RCW 9A.16.020. Upon the issuance of
16 said Writ, 50 or more concerned Citizens with knowledge of
17 your crimes will enter your home and arrest you, to take you
18 to competent authorities as provided by law. DO NOT
19 RESIST as these Citizens will be heavily armed and will meet
20 all resistance with all necessary force, as provided by law. If
21 you default or otherwise do not appear, and if my application
22 is granted, I would advise you to keep your front and back
23 doors to your home UNLOCKED to better facilitate your
24 lawful arrest."

25 The Mayor contacted the Kirkland Police and filed a report of the incident.

26 18. On September 8, 2010, a Kirkland Police Department Detective contacted
27 MYRLAND via telephone in regards to the email. The Detective recognized the voice of
28 the individual he spoke with on the telephone as that of MYRLAND from his past
interactions with MYRLAND. MYRLAND stated he was aware of the email the
Detective was referring to, and admitted he had authored and sent the email to the
Kirkland Mayor at her City of Kirkland email address.

19. MYRLAND went on to claim that he had the legal right to effect a citizen's
arrest of the Mayor of Kirkland due to her unwillingness to address a legal problem he
was having with the City of Kirkland, and intended to follow through with arresting the

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:

1=Adverb 8=Past-time
2=Verb 9=Future-time
3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

COMPLAINT - 5
U.S. v. Myrland

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
(206) 553-7970

1 Mayor.

2 20. I know that email accounts whose addresses end in "@hotmail.com" are
3 controlled by Microsoft. A review of the header information for the email sent by
4 MYRLAND indicates that the header contains the initials "SNT." According to
5 Microsoft personnel, this means that the email was transmitted via a server in San
6 Antonio, Texas. The email therefore was transmitted in interstate commerce.

7 21. I recently interviewed the Mayor and she stated she was fearful for her
8 safety and that of her family due to the Defendant's email message. I respectfully submit
9 that her fear was objectively reasonable, particularly given the context of MYRLAND's
10 other interactions with Kirkland employees. Based on the foregoing, I respectfully submit
11 there is probable cause to believe that MYRLAND sent a threatening communication in
12 interstate commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 875(c).

13 C. OTHER THREATS.

14 22. This email threat was but one of a number of threats made by MYRLAND
15 against individuals employed by the City of Kirkland, which provide additional context to
16 the threat charged in Count One.

17 23. For example, on September 3, 2010, a Kirkland Assistant City Attorney,
18 O.R. received a telephone call on his home telephone. The caller identification system on
19 O.R.'s telephone identified the number calling as 425-556-9828, "David Myrland." The
20 male caller left the following voice mail message: "Keep your doors unlocked. Don't
21 resist. You're going to be meeting people."

22 24. O.R. recognized the voice on the message as that of the MYRLAND from
23 numerous in-person professional interactions in the past. O.R. contacted the same
24 Detective with the Kirkland Police Department, and provided a copy of the voice mail
25 message. The Detective also recognized MYRLAND's voice on the recording from past
26 interactions with the Defendant. DPV

27 25. On September 8, 2010, the Detective contacted MYRLAND via telephone
28 in regards to the voice mail. MYRLAND admitted he had placed the telephone call and

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:

1=Adverb 8=Past-time
2=Verb 9=Future-time
3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

COMPLAINT - 6
U.S. v. Myrland

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
(206) 353-7978

1 left the voice mail message. MYRLAND stated he had the legal right to effect a citizen's
 2 arrest of O.R. due to his unwillingness to address a legal problem he was having with the
 3 City of Kirkland, and intended to follow through with arresting O.R. O.R. has told me
 4 he was fearful for his safety and that of his family due to the Defendant's voice mail
 5 message.

6 26. The King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office ultimately charged
 7 MYRLAND with state offenses related to the threats made against the Kirkland Mayor
 8 and Assistant City Attorney. Those charges are pending as of the date of this Complaint.
 9 27. In response, MYRLAND has continued to claim that he is not subject to the
 10 laws of the State of Washington, and that he has the authority, and the intention, to arrest
 11 state and local officials whom he feels are somehow violating his rights by continuing to
 12 prosecute his various local offenses. MYRLAND has also enlisted others to make threats
 13 on his behalf.

14 28. On or about December 27, 2010, the City of Kirkland received a six-page
 15 letter from a "D.W." with an address in Little Elm, Texas. The letter was delivered by
 16 U.S. Mail. The letter refers to the elected King County Prosecuting Attorney, D.S., and
 17 also to O.R., as engaging in the "malicious prosecution" of people who think like
 18 MYRLAND. It goes on to detail, at some length, how the City of Kirkland violated
 19 MYRLAND's rights in regards to the traffic stop referred to above, and how the King
 20 County Prosecuting Attorney was now wrongfully prosecuting MYRLAND for the
 21 statements he made to the Mayor and the Assistant City Attorney.

22 29. The letter further states that it is "lawful for a private citizen to use deadly
 23 force in attempting to apprehend a fleeing felon," and that "an assault is lawful when
 24 necessary by a person arresting one who has committed a felony - that is, by a private
 25 citizen." It concludes in part that "We the people see [the Mayor of Kirkland and the
 26 Assistant City Attorney] as the criminals, and if Mr. [S] knows what's good for him he'll
 27 either prosecute them instead, or he can expect the use of force against his person if he
 28 intervenes on behalf of any criminal victimizing anyone I know. The same is true of the

:Syntax-word-key-meaning/

1=Adverb 8=Past-time/
 2=Verb 9=Future-time
 3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
 4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

COMPLAINT - 7
 U.S. v. Myrland

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 700 Stewart Street, Suite 3220
 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
 (206) 533-7970

:PARSE = DE = NO, SCRIB = WRITE

3 4 0 3 4
1 Kirkland police and city officials.

2 30. My investigation shows that similar letters were sent to the King County
3 Superior Court and to the Washington State Bar Association during the same time frame.

4 31. On January 13th, 2011, I called MYRLAND's home telephone. The
5 person who answered the phone identified himself as David MYRLAND upon answering
6 the telephone. I told MYRLAND I was investigating some letters sent to the City of
7 Kirkland, Superior Court of King County, and the Washington State Bar Association,
8 apparently on his behalf. I described the content of the letters, and noted that his name
9 was mentioned several times in them. I asked MYRLAND if he was aware of these
10 letters, their content, and who wrote them.

11 32. MYRLAND stated he was aware that letters were being sent to various
12 government organizations on his behalf, and was aware that the content of the letters
13 involved him and his conflicts with the City of Kirkland and other entities. I then asked
14 MYRLAND if he had written the letters, and he stated he had not. However,
15 MYRLAND admitted that he had provided the information contained in the letters to
16 people via the internet, so they could research his conflicts and draft their own letters of
17 support. DPV

18 33. I asked the defendant if he knew the authors of two letters in particular,
19 D.W and S.W., and how I might contact them to speak with them. The defendant stated
20 he did not know them personally, but did know who they were through interaction on the
21 internet, and did not know how to contact them directly via telephone or any other
22 method.

23 34. MYRLAND gave me the addresses of two Internet websites to research
24 information concerning his conflicts with local municipal governments:

25 www.noconfidence.com/cc.doc (site 1), and www.Talkshoe.com/tc/74235 (October 2nd,
26 2010 edition) (site 2). I found both sites on the Internet and observed the following. DPV

27 35. Site 1 contains a 26 page Civil Complaint for money damages and other
28 relief. MYRLAND stated he had authored this document. The document discusses

MYRLAND's conflicts with the City of Kirkland and King County, and reiterates MYRLAND's opinion that he is not subject to compliance with the Revised Code of Washington, and is being unlawfully persecuted by the respective City of Kirkland and King County court systems. The document explains MYRLAND's belief that he can legally arrest Kirkland and other municipal officials. On page nine of the document, MYRLAND states that "[t]his leaves only deadly force as the sole remedy under RCW 9A.16.020, for there are no competent authorities to receive the arrested felons, and therefore no prosecutorial authorities to hold any offender so arrested to the letter of the law. Plaintiffs will go to prison for reporting crime by municipal authorities, or for protecting themselves as provided by law against such crime. What level of force is 'necessary' force, in such an instance?" Obviously, this language is very similar to the letters sent to the City of Kirkland, the WSBA, and the King County Superior Court.

36. Site 2 contains an audio recording of MYRLAND talking on a "radio" show. I recognized MYRLAND's voice from our phone conversation, and the speaker identified himself by name as MYRLAND in the recording. In the recording, the defendant describes his conflict with municipal authorities in the City of Kirkland and in King County. During the recording, MYRLAND tells people to go to a website, print out a letter and describes how to mail them in, and to whom. In return, anyone who presents proof of this will receive copies of MYRLAND's audio teachings. It should be noted that the specific letters mentioned in this recording do not appear to be the same letters that are the subject of this complaint, which contain direct threats in them (the West Letters); rather, they appear to be a prior set of letters, in which the threats to arrest and such are posed as questions. Nonetheless, based on the similarity between the letters and MYRLAND's own writings, and his admissions to me that MYRLAND has solicited others to write government officials on his behalf, I believe there is probable cause to believe that MYRLAND aided and abetted the mailing of the threatening letter charged in Count 2, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 876(c).

9
:Syntax-word-key-meaning:
1=Adverb 8=Past-time
2=Verb 9=Future-time
3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

COMPLAINT - 9
U.S. v. Myrland

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
(206) 533-7970

CONCLUSION

37. For the reasons set forth above, I respectfully submit that an arrest warrant should be issued for DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND for violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 845 and 876.

John Dean
 JOHN DEAN
 Senior Special Agent, Department of Homeland Security

Based on the Complaint and Affidavit sworn to before me, and subscribed in my presence, the Court hereby finds that there is probable cause to believe the defendant committed the offenses set forth in the Complaint.

Dated this 21 day of January, 2011.

Mary Alice Theiler
 The Honorable Mary Alice Theiler
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:
 1=Adverb 8=Past-time
 2=Verb 9=Future-time
 3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
 4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez

FILED ENTERED
 LODGED RECEIVED

JUL 27 2011

AT SEATTLE
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY

11-CR-00057-PLAGR

NO 3 8 3 NO 3 4
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
 AT SEATTLE

NO 3 8 4 1 NO 2
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

NOM-DE-GUERRE

DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND,

Defendant.

No. CR11-057RSM

PLEA AGREEMENT

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:

1=Adverb

8=Past-time

2=Verb

9=Future-time

3=Adjective

0=Conjunction

4=Pronoun

NC=No-Contract

The United States of America, by and through Jenny A. Durkan, United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Vincent T. Lombardi and Jill Otake, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, Defendant, DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND, and his attorney, Stephan Illa, enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(B):

1. The Charge. Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enters his plea of guilty to the following charge contained in the Indictment: *Transmission of Threatening Interstate Communication*, as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c).

By entering this plea of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of the charging document. Defendant further understands that before entering his plea of guilty, he will be placed under oath. Any statement given by Defendant under oath may be used by the United States in a prosecution for perjury or false statement.

2. **Elements of the Offense.** The elements of the offense of Transmission of Threatening Interstate Communication, as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c), are as follows:

First, Defendant sent a message containing a threat to kidnap or injure the person of another;

Second, Defendant sent the message in interstate or foreign commerce; and

Third, Defendant intended his words to be taken as a true threat.

3. **The Penalties.** Defendant understands that the statutory penalties for the offense of Transmission of Threatening Interstate Communication, as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c), are as follows: Imprisonment for up to five (5) years; a fine of up to \$250,000; a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) years, and three (3) years; and a special assessment of \$100.

Defendant agrees that the special assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing. DPV

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and he violates one or more of its conditions, Defendant could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant's serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

Defendant understands that in addition to any term of imprisonment and/or fine that is imposed, the Court may order him to pay restitution to any victim of the offense, as required by law. Defendant further understands that a consequence of pleading guilty may include the forfeiture of certain property either as a part of the sentence imposed by the Court, or as a result of civil judicial or administrative process.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs, or restitution, is due and payable immediately and further agrees to

2: Syntax-word-key-meaning:
1=Adverb 8=Past-time

2=Verb

3=Adjective

4=Pronoun

9=Future-time

0=Conjunction

NC=No-Contract

1 submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States
 2 Attorney's Office.

3 4. Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty. Defendant understands that by pleading
 4 guilty, he knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:

- 5 a. The right to plead not guilty and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
 6 b. The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of his peers;
 7 c. The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial, including, if
 8 Defendant could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for him;
 9 d. The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established beyond a
 10 reasonable doubt at trial;
 11 e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against Defendant at
 12 trial;
 13 f. The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on his behalf at trial;
 14 g. The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, at which trial such silence
 15 could not be used against Defendant; and
 16 h. The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings.

17 5. United States Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands and acknowledges
 18 that, at sentencing, the Court must consider the sentencing range calculated under the
 19 United States Sentencing Guidelines, together with the other factors set forth in Title 18,
 20 United States Code, Section 3553(a), including: (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense;
 21 (2) the history and characteristics of the defendant; (3) the need for the sentence to reflect the
 22 seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the
 23 offense; (4) the need for the sentence to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct; (5) the
 24 need for the sentence to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant; (6) the need to
 25 provide the defendant with educational and vocational training, medical care, or other
 26 correctional treatment in the most effective manner; (7) the kinds of sentences available; (8) the
 27 need to provide restitution to victims; and (9) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparity

2
 :Syntax-word-key-meaning:

1=Adverb 8=Past-time
 2=Verb 9=Future-time
 3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
 4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

PLEA AGREEMENT - 3
 U.S. v. Myriand, CR11-0057RSM

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 700 Stewart Street, Suite 3220
 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
 (206) 333-7970

among defendants involved in similar conduct who have similar records. Accordingly,

Defendant understands and acknowledges that:

a. The Court will determine his applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing;

b. After consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and the factors in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a), the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, up to the maximum term authorized by law;

c. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties or the United States Probation Department, or by any stipulations or agreements between the parties in this Plea Agreement; and

d. Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.

6. **Ultimate Sentence.** Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.

7. **Restitution.** Defendant shall make restitution to the City of Kirkland and victims O.R. and J.M. in an amount to be determined by the Court at the time of sentencing, with credit for any amounts already paid. Said amount shall be due and payable immediately and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as proposed by the United States Probation Office and ordered by the Court.

8. **Forfeiture.** Defendant also agrees that if any firearms or illegal contraband were seized by any law enforcement agency from the possession of Defendant, or that were in the direct or indirect control of Defendant, then Defendant consents to the administrative forfeiture, official use, and/or destruction of said firearms or contraband by any law enforcement agency involved in the seizure of these items. Said items include, but are not limited to, one Springfield XD semiautomatic handgun and associated magazines and ammunition.

9. **Statement of Facts.** The parties agree on the following facts. Defendant admits he is guilty of the charged offense.

:Syntax/word-key-meaning:

1=Adverb 8=Past-time
2=Verb 9=Future-time
3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

a. Defendant DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND admits that on or about August 30, 2010, he sent an email to J.M., the Mayor of the City of Kirkland, Washington, containing a threat to kidnap and injure J.M. Specifically, Defendant admits that he threatened to cause others to enter J.M.'s home to arrest her by law for offenses Defendant felt had been committed against him by police officers working for the City of Kirkland. Defendant further admits that he advised J.M. not to resist, as the people he was working with would be heavily armed and authorized to use force by law. DPV

b. Defendant admits that said email was sent using Microsoft Corporation's Hotmail service, and that the email was routed through a server in San Antonio, Texas.

c. Defendant further admits that he intended the email to be interpreted as a true threat by the recipient, J.M.

d. Defendant further admits that he had participated in conversations and meetings with other individuals about carrying out said threat; and that the threat, and similar threats were repeated in subsequent written and oral communications with individuals at the City of Kirkland. DPV

10. Sentencing Recommendation. The United States agrees it will recommend that the Court impose a custodial sentence of no more than forty-eight (48) months. The United States is free to make any other sentencing recommendations it sees fit, including but not limited to the term and conditions of supervised release and restitution.

Defendant is free to make any sentencing recommendations he sees fit.

As set forth in paragraph 5, above, Defendant understands that the Court is not obligated to accept either parties recommendations, and may impose any sentence authorized by law, up to the maximum term authorized by law. DPV

11. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, and that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant recognizes the United States has agreed not to prosecute

Syntax-word-key-meaning:

1=Adverb	8=Past-time
2=Verb	9=Future-time
3=Adjective	0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun	NC=No-Contract

1 all of the criminal charges the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because
 2 of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant agrees, however, that for
 3 purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide
 4 the United States Probation Office with evidence of all conduct committed by Defendant.

5 **12. Acceptance of Responsibility.** The United States acknowledges that if Defendant
 6 qualifies for an acceptance of responsibility adjustment pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(a), and if the
 7 offense level is sixteen (16) or greater, his total offense level should be decreased by three (3)
 8 levels pursuant to USSG §§ 3E1.1(a) and (b), because has assisted the United States by timely
 9 notifying the authorities of Defendant's intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United
 10 States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.

11 **13. Breach, Waiver, and Post-Plea Conduct.** Defendant agrees that if Defendant
 12 breaches this Plea Agreement, the United States may withdraw from this Plea Agreement and
 13 Defendant may be prosecuted for all offenses for which the United States has evidence.
 14 Defendant agrees not to oppose any steps taken by the United States to nullify this Plea
 15 Agreement, including the filing of a motion to withdraw from the Plea Agreement. Defendant
 16 also agrees that if Defendant is in breach of this Plea Agreement, Defendant has waived any
 17 objection to the re-institution of any charges in the Indictment that were previously dismissed or
 18 any additional charges that had not been prosecuted.

19 Defendant further understands that if, after the date of this Agreement, Defendant should
 20 engage in illegal conduct, or conduct that is in violation of his conditions of confinement
 21 (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a
 22 court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law
 23 enforcement agents, the Pretrial Services Officer, Probation Officer, or Court), the United States
 24 is free under this Agreement to file additional charges against Defendant or to seek a sentence
 25 that takes such conduct into consideration by requesting the Court to apply additional
 26 adjustments or enhancements in its Sentencing Guidelines calculations in order to increase the
 27 applicable advisory Guidelines range, and/or by seeking an upward departure or variance from
 28 the calculated advisory Guidelines range. Under these circumstances, the United States is free to

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:

1=Adjective 8=Past-time
 2=Verb 9=Future-time
 3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
 4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract

PLEA AGREEMENT - 6
 U.S. v. Myrland, CR11-0057RSM

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
 700 Seventh Street, Suite 3220
 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
 (206) 533-7970

2 seek such adjustments, enhancements, departures, and/or variances even if otherwise precluded
by the terms of the plea agreement.

14. **Waiver of Appeal.** As part of this Plea Agreement and on the condition that the
Court imposes a custodial sentence that is within or below the Sentencing Guidelines range (or
the statutory mandatory minimum, if greater than the Guidelines range) that is determined by the
Court at the time of sentencing, Defendant waives to the full extent of the law:

- a. any right conferred by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742 to appeal the
sentence, including any restitution order imposed; and
- b. any right to bring a collateral attack against the conviction and sentence, including
any restitution order imposed, except as it may relate to the effectiveness of legal
representation.

Furthermore, this waiver does not preclude Defendant from bringing an appropriate motion
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2241, to address the conditions of his confinement or the decisions of the
Bureau of Prisons regarding the execution of his sentence.

If Defendant breaches this Plea Agreement at any time by appealing or collaterally
attacking (except as to effectiveness of legal representation) the conviction or sentence in any
way, the United States may prosecute Defendant for any counts, including those with mandatory
minimum sentences, that were dismissed or not charged pursuant to this Plea Agreement.

15. **Voluntariness of Plea.** Defendant agrees that Defendant has entered into this Plea
Agreement freely and voluntarily and that no threats or promises, other than the promises
contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter this plea of guilty.

16. **Statute of Limitations.** In the event this Agreement is not accepted by the Court
for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of
limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to:

- (1) thirty (30) days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or
- (2) thirty (30) days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is
discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.

///

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:

1=Adverb	8=Past-time
2=Verb	9=Future-time
3=Adjective	0=Conjunction
4=Pronoun	NC=No-Contract

PLEA AGREEMENT - 7
U.S. v. Myland, CR11-0057RSM

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
Seattle, Washington 98101-3271
(206) 533-7970

17. ⁴ ¹ ² ¹ ³ ⁴ ⁰ ^{NO} ³ ^{NO} ³ ⁴
 these terms constitute the ¹ ³ ⁴ ¹ ^{NO} ³ ³ ³ ⁴ ¹ ² ¹ ³ ³
 only the ⁴ ¹ ^{NO} ³ ³ ^{NO} ³ ^{NO} ³ ⁴ ¹ ³ ⁴ ¹ ² ¹
 United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington. It does not
 bind any other ³ ⁴ ¹ ³ ³ ³ ⁴ ⁰ ⁴ ¹ ² ⁰ ⁴ ¹ ¹
 United States Attorney's Office or any other office or agency of the
 United States, or any state or local ³ ^{NO} ⁴ ⁴ prosecutor.

Dated this ⁴ ³ ¹ ² ⁴ ¹ ² day of July, 2011.


 DAVID RUSSELL MYRLAND
 Defendant


 STEPHAN ILLA
 Attorney for Defendant


 JILL OTAKE
 Assistant United States Attorney


 VINCENT T. LOMBARDI
 Assistant United States Attorney

:Syntax-word-key-meaning:
 1=Adverb 8=Past-time
 2=Verb 9=Future-time
 3=Adjective 0=Conjunction
 4=Pronoun NC=No-Contract